


COURTS IN YAVAPAI COUNTY INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY STRATEGIC PLAN 2013-2015 SUMMARY



LOCAL INITIATIVES, DRIVERS, AND PRESSURES

- Implement electronic calendar display system integrated with AJACS (InFax).
- Use GovNET solution to provide backup communications channel among courts and to AOC.
- Investigate technology for judges in the courtroom including tablets and bench automation.
- Prepare for participation in statewide projects including limited jurisdiction CMS, AZTurboCourt e-filing, and JOLTSaz.
- Increase mobile access to probation files and documents for field officers; integrate probation automation with AJACS CMS.
- Implement disconnected scanning and paperless processes in limited jurisdiction court environment.
- Expand online payment processing to more courts in county.

CY 2010/11 ACCOMPLISHMENTS

- Implemented AJACS in superior court.
- Made self-service forms as well as juror, passport, and marriage license information available on the clerk of court website.
- Provided process for JAs to listen to live proceedings.
- Moved past FTR recordings and court reporter notes to server.
- Began digitizing Adult Probation documents and using various software programs and resources to assist officers in their duties.
- Remodeled to increase physical security at Mayer Justice Court.

Statewide Projects: Impacts, Concerns, and Participation Plans

LJ CMS/Bench Auto	Apart from Prescott's high need, LJ courts not anxious to replace AZTEC; judges in smallest courts need portable bench automation solution for multi-purpose courtrooms; will be mid- to late adopters.
JOLTSaz/AZYAS	Strong desire for full integration with AJACS; will be an early adopter.
LJ EDMS	Very high importance for productivity improvement and paperless processing; will be mid-cycle adopters.
e-Filing/Std Forms	Importance varies court by court; anxious to improve customer service and accept payments on web; will be mid-cycle adopter.
Architecture/Security	No local development beyond Prescott; superior court pursuing OnBase workflow and AJACS/InFax court calendar display system; a few items are in containment status.

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
Improve Countywide Warrant Processing	FY13		X		All courts & Sheriff
Online Payments	FY13		X		Clerk of Court
Electronic Signatures	FY13		X		Clerk of Court
e-Filing (AZTurboCourt)	FY13		X		Clerk of Court; Superior Court
Workflow/ Workview	FY13		X		Clerk of Court
Electronic Document Transmissions	FY14		X		LJ Courts & Jail
Install GovNet	FY13		X		All courts
Bench Automation	FY13		X		All courts
AJACS Rollout	FY14		X		LJ courts only
JOLTSaz Integration	FY14		X		Superior Court & Juvenile
Disconnected Scanning	FY14		X		LJ courts
AZTurboCourt	FY14		X		All LJ courts
AJACS-APETS Integration	FY14		X		Superior Court & Adult
Security System	FY13		X		Superior Court
FTR/Upgrade	FY13		X		Superior Court

TECHNOLOGY PROJECTS					
Project	Year/ Status	Project Detail Provided			Comments
		Full¹	Skeletal²	Mention³	
Teleconference Equipment for Judges	FY13		X		Superior Court / Prescott
Upgrade Presentation Carts for Courtrooms	FY13		X		Superior Court / Prescott
Install AJACS	FY12		X		Place adult probation officers on AJACS

Note 1:

An “X” in “Full” indicates that the court has provided full detailed information about the project according to the general parameters outlined in the Commission on Technology’s Project Management Methodology. Also, risk analysis, impact, project costs and funding information has been provided.

Note 2:

An “X” in “Skeletal” indicates that the court provided detail about the local project in the master projects listing spreadsheet. Complete information, usually risks, impact analysis, project costs and funding, was not provided.

Note 3:

An “X” in “Mention” indicates that the court mentioned this project in a summary or listed it in an initiative. It may have been a phrase or a full paragraph of description, but did not contain detailed project-oriented information. If these projects are related to pursuing standards or directions already adopted (e.g., OnBase EDMS implementation, Jury+ upgrade, digital audio in the courtroom), then any mention which includes appropriate funding information is sufficient.